Pendergast, Jim

From: Pendergast, Jim

Sent: Thursday, June 13, 2013 10:03 AM

To: Fertik, Rachel

Subject: RE: FOR REVIEW by Mon 6/17: Draft background for SAB review charge questions

Attachments: Charge to Reviewers_WoUS SAB DRAFT v1_RMF - JP.docx

Thought on how to make question 3 not a yes/no answer.

From: Fertik, Rachel

Sent: Wednesday, June 12, 2013 2:54 PM

To: Evans, David; Kaiser, Russell; Downing, Donna

Cc: Pendergast, Jim

Subject: FOR REVIEW by Mon 6/17: Draft background for SAB review charge questions

Dave/Russ/Donna,

Attached is a draft background document for the SAB review. It will accompany the charge to reviewers, which will include an introduction and the charge questions themselves. ORD is currently developing and reviewing that document, and they are expecting our draft of this document by the middle of next week. I have told them that I need to have this reviewed up the OW chain prior to providing it to them.

ORD has asked us to write this document for the benefit of the reviewers. There are several reasons that they and I feel that it is important to provide this information, and several goals for what it needs to communicate.



The trick is to give enough information to satisfy these goals, but not too much that it becomes a distraction. (6)(5)

have also included a copy of the draft charge questions as they appeared last time Laurie circulated them to me – they are currently under review in ORD, but if you have comments please make those as well.

Please review this document and "reply all" with your redline comments or provide a hardcopy with margin comments. I will be on furlough tomorrow and Friday, and compressed on Monday, **so please provide any comments by COB Monday 6/17**. I'd like us to send it to Denise and OGC on Tuesday and Nancy on Wednesday or Thursday if possible. I'm hoping that the short length of the document will facilitate a speedy review.



